UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)				
ramuri,) Case No. 4:19-cv-00415				
V.) Filed Electronically				
ALEXANDRU BITTNER, Defendant.))				
ORDER ON DEFENDANT ALEXANDRU BITTNER'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY RESPONSES					
On this day came to be considered De	efendant Alexandru Bittner's Motion to Compel				
Discovery Responses (the "Motion"). After of	considering the Motion, the response, the pleadings,				
and arguments of counsel, is of the opinion a	and makes the following rulings:				
ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DE	CREED that Plaintiff's objections to Defendant's				
Request for Admission Request No. 16 are					
SUSTAINE	EDOVERRULED				
ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DE	CREED, that Plaintiff's objections to Defendant's				
Request for Admission Request No. 17 are					
SUSTAINED	OVERRULED				
ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DE	CREED that Plaintiff's objections to Defendant's				
Request for Admission Request No. 26 are					
SUSTAINED	OVERRULED				
ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DE	CREED that Plaintiff's objections to Defendant's				
Request for Admission Request No. 27 are					
SUSTAINE	EDOVERRULED				

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that	Plaintiff's objections to Defendant's				
Request for Admission Request No. 29 are					
SUSTAINED	OVERRULED				
ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that	Plaintiff's objections to Defendant's				
Request for Admission Request No. 30 are					
SUSTAINED	OVERRULED				
ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that	Plaintiff's objections to Defendant's				
Request for Admission Request No. 31 are					
SUSTAINED	OVERRULED				
ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that	Plaintiff's objections to Defendant's				
Request for Admission Request No. 32 are					
SUSTAINED	OVERRULED				
ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that	Plaintiff's objections to Defendant's				
Request for Admission Request No. 33 are					
SUSTAINED	OVERRULED				
ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that	Plaintiff's objections to Defendant's				
Request for Admission Request No. 35 are					
SUSTAINED	OVERRULED				
ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that	Plaintiff's objections to Defendant's				
Request for Admission Request No. 42 are					
SUSTAINED	OVERRULED				
ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that	Plaintiff's objections to Defendant's				
Request for Admission Request No. 43 are					

SUSTAINED		OVERRULED				
ORDERED,	ADJUDGED,	and DECREED	that	Plaintiff's	objections to	Defendant's
Request for Admissi	on Request No.	. 52 are				
	SU	JSTAINED			OVER	RULED
ORDERED,	ADJUDGED,	and DECREED	that	Plaintiff's	objections to	Defendant's
Request for Admissi	on Request No.	. 53 are				
	SU	JSTAINED			OVER	RULED
ORDERED,	ADJUDGED,	and DECREED	that	Plaintiff's	objections to	Defendant's
Request for Admissi	on Request No.	. 83 are				
	SU	JSTAINED			OVER	RULED
ORDERED,	ADJUDGED,	and DECREED	that	Plaintiff's	objections to	Defendant's
Request for Admission Request No. 95 are						
	SU	JSTAINED			OVER	RULED
ORDERED,	ADJUDGED,	and DECREED	that	Plaintiff's	objections to	Defendant's
Request for Admission Request No. 96 are						
	SU	JSTAINED			OVER	RULED
ORDERED,	ADJUDGED,	and DECREED	that	Plaintiff's	objections to	Defendant's
Request for Admissi	on Request No.	. 97 are				
	SU	JSTAINED			OVER	RULED
ORDERED,	ADJUDGED,	and DECREED	that	Plaintiff's	objections to	Defendant's
Request for Admission Request No. 98 are						
	SU	JSTAINED			OVER	RULED

ORDERE	D, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that	Plaintiff's objections to Defendant's
Request for Admi	ssion Request No. 99 are	
	SUSTAINED	OVERRULED
ORDERE	D, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that	Plaintiff's objections to Defendant's
Interrogatory No.	13 are	
	SUSTAINED	OVERRULED
ORDERE	D, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that	Plaintiff's objections to Defendant's
Interrogatory No.	17 are	
	SUSTAINED	OVERRULED

IT IS SO ORDERED.